

# NEW YORK HERALD.

JAMES GORDON BEYNETT,  
PROPRIETOR AND EDITOR.

OFFICE NO. 37, CORNER OF FULTON AND NASSAU STS.

TERMS: Each copy, 5 cents. In advance, \$1.00 per copy—\$7 per annum.

THE WEEKLY HERALD, every Saturday, at 6 cents per copy—\$3.50 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

FOR THE WEEKLY HERALD, send to any part of the country, to be sent by mail, 10 cents per copy—\$6 per annum.

through fortification of the Pacific coast. Every thing now betokens that Congress will carry out to the letter the precepts of Washington, Jefferson, Monroe, and others of our illustrious countrymen of by-gone days. Let us be fully prepared to meet and drive back any trouble that may hereafter present itself from abroad.

We elsewhere publish a communication relative to a project for erecting a telegraphic line to the Pacific. Should Congress accede to the request of the memorialists named, the latter expect to complete the work in two years or less. It is anticipated that a tariff on communications to San Francisco, about twice as high as the present between this city and New Orleans, will yield the company an adequate profit.

The letter from General Wool to the Secretary of the Mechanics' Institute of this city contains many interesting incidents relative to the rise and progress of a few of the eminent men of our own land. Young and old, male and female, will read it with pleasure and profit.

A full report of the Military Convention, which took place at Syracuse a few days ago, may be found in another column.

The Rev. Charles Spier, of Boston, who has distinguished himself by his continuous efforts to improve and solace the condition of prisoners, and to procure the abolition of capital punishment, delivered an address last evening, in the Bleeker street Universalist church, on the subject of his recent mission to Europe to examine the prison system there. We give a pretty full report elsewhere.

On Saturday morning the Broadway Railroad Junction case was in part heard in the Superior Court. Mr. Van Buren submitted and argued upon various points for the plaintiffs, on the order to show cause why an attachment should not issue against the defendants for contempt. Mr. Field replied on the part of Alderman Sturtevant, one of the defendants, but did not conclude his argument, the Court rising at 5 o'clock. The case stands adjourned until Saturday next, at half-past 10.

The southern mail, due at ten o'clock last night, had not arrived at this morning.

In addition to much other interesting information, our columns to-day contain a lengthy communication relative to the Cuban Question and the Cuban Invasion; Synopsis of the Message of the Governor of New Jersey; Proceedings of the New Jersey Colonization Society; List of New Patents; Distressing Suicide in Philadelphia; Law and Commercial Intelligence; a great variety of local news, including further particulars of the attempt by a negro to murder Mr. Haydock, &c.

Will the Cabinet be an Unit—That's the Question.

As the time for the inauguration of the new President approaches near, the anxiety to know who will compose his Cabinet becomes the more intense. The rumors which are whispered in private circles, and the bold assertions that are confidently telegraphed each day, respecting various names before the public, are alike founded on idle speculation and private feeling. They are unworthy of notice, and of comment. The publication, therefore, of this kind of news, must be taken only for what it is worth, without any endorsement from us as to its intrinsic value.

The calm which now pervades the political horizon may be assumed, by some, as a sure omen of serene skies for the incoming administration. Others, less sanguine, may view it as the lull that follows the storm of an exciting election, which, if of long continuance, may stagnate into pestilence, breeding defeat and destruction to the party so recently triumphant. One class believe that certain well defined principles were established by the people, in the election of General Pierce, which will be adhered to for all time, and that his administration, sustained by public opinion, will have nothing to dread, and no dangers to encounter. Another class imagine that some of the principles which should govern the incoming executive were not clearly expressed, but were only understood, and on that point there is a wide margin for a difference of opinion and for dispute. Moreover, that understanding may be construed in a double sense. The platforms of the two national conventions—whig and democratic—did not materially differ on the point of principle upon which the election turned. It might be said they did not differ at all. Yet, with similar principles on record, no one will say that the election was canvassed with reference alone to personal preferences and personal popularity of the candidates. Upon what, then, was the issue? Now, upon the solution of this question depends the complexion of the incoming Cabinet. This fact must be borne in mind by those who so confidently speak of the gentlemen who will surround the President. It would be well to settle that principle before writing down names in future.

It might be supposed that both united in destroying the free soil party, which was in the field with their candidate. But their supposition would be wide of the mark. For the free soil party was divided, and, as a formidable enemy, despised by the masses of both whigs and democrats. One section, led off by William H. Seward, was ranged under the banner of General Scott, controlling his movements and making him their candidate; while another section, under the banner of Martin Van Buren, were no less zealous, but less conspicuous for General Pierce, who, after deserting their party and electing General Taylor, in 1848, came in again in 1852, the most ravenous for the spoils, and of the least importance to Gen. P.'s success. The Southern States were clamorous for a strict adherence to the compromise act, and the whigs and democrats were bidding high for their confidence and their votes. The real issue, therefore, was narrowed down to the question of which party was freest from the taint of free soilism. Both whigs and democrats admitted that free soil men were in their ranks; but both denied that they would have any share in originating the measures and carrying out the principles of the next administration, as cabinet counsellors. In the event of their success; but the known opinions of Gen. Pierce and Mr. Seward on the compromise measures satisfied the country that the election of Gen. Scott would be the renewal of late disunion agitation. It must, however, be understood that the candidates, on this point, were silent as the grave. The platforms had not settled the matter—the candidates had not been more explicit. The people of the Southern States, where the contest was decided, had to trust to promises, and promises, too, by implication, in casting their votes for an unprecedented unanimity, in favor of General Pierce. Yet all this does not create an obligation on the part of the new President to respond to their confidence and comply with their wishes. He is free to follow the bent of his own mind. There would be no apparent violation of faith on his part. With him it becomes only a question of policy whether he will select, in the face of the overwhelming defeat of Seward and free soilism in November last, a democrat with free soil tendencies for one of his cabinet. And on this point much can be said on both sides.

It is suggested that a cabinet appointment given to a free soiler, would reconcile this State to the new executive; firmly bind the democratic party as a band of brothers, and add largely to the numerical strength, at all subsequent elections. But this is only supposition. The event might be different from what is anticipated. If the hunkers should take an opposite view of the case, and imagine themselves ostracized because they had always been consistent in their opposition to the free soil mania, and that the other wing of the party was rewarded because its members had once been traitors to the Baltimore platform, and would be again if not well compensated, it is not hunkering to say that treason to the party will flourish again, in proportion to the benefits to be derived from it. But the next breach in the ranks would come from those who heretofore stood the most firm to democratic principles. What, therefore, the executive might gain in strength, would be dearly paid for in principles suspected and in prestige damaged.

In the adjoining States, and more especially in the South, those who had advocated the election of Gen. Pierce, as free from all taint and free soil tendencies, without communion and brotherhood with them, or any of them, and who had been elected to Congress on the strength of their assurances to the people, would have to answer for their false prophecies; and the sins which they had so eloquently portrayed as likely to be perpetrated by Gen. Scott, if elected, would have to be answered for by them, as being committed under their own darling democratic administration. How could they flee from the wrath to come? How could they face their constituents with their former speeches quoted against them, and the no less eloquent and elegant extracts drawn from the choice literature of the barnburner library, denouncing the traffic in human flesh, and declaring the duty to humanity to draw a cordon around States that were a libel to republican principles and a disgrace to human nature? Uncle Tom's Cabin contains no choice gems so rich in thrilling eloquence, in words that breathe and thoughts that burn, as the speeches of those gentlemen who now are aspirants for the highest seats in the political synagogues, and whose claims are, in reality, founded on the position which those same speeches have given them in the party. It does not require the gift of prophecy to foretell the result of such a canvass. Every democrat would go down, and if not, he would go into opposition to save himself.

Should a free soil democrat be selected for the cabinet, the equilibrium, no doubt, would be restored by appointing one of the State rights party as a counterpoise. That is, the load would be lightened by making it double, on the principle of the miller's bag, where two stones can more easily be carried on horseback than one. The mosaic composition of such a cabinet would certainly be fanciful and ingenious, and highly beautiful, as all mosaic work is, or ought to be. But this kind of art belongs more to the ornamental than the useful. All composite productions are brittle—they are liable to break—they lack strength. Those of a political cast are not free from the imperfections attendant upon all others. It is idle to suppose that the country will put faith in the sincerity of any man professing to uphold principles which he had opposed until his coming into office. Communion with saints will not give a new heart, but communion with saints may make a hypocrite. The recent defeat of the Derby administration in England clearly teaches that the public will never permit any man or set of men to take charge of measures which they had opposed; and the present Aberdeen ministry is deficient in prestige, because its component parts are not solid and united, but of a composite order.

No one will suppose that the free soil wing of the democratic party ought to be excluded from office under the incoming administration. They were useful allies—powerful auxiliaries—and fought manfully for General Pierce; but they were only allies and auxiliaries—not an integral portion of the party. It would be ungenerous not to reward them for their services and sufferings; but it might be unjust to pay them out of the spoils which more properly belong to the veterans who never deserted nor were dismayed in the hour of disaster, to which the others had contributed. The Roman Consul caressed, extolled, and remunerated his German allies; the French Emperor, in after times, imitated his example towards the descendants of the same people. But Caesar never gave to one of those allies the command of a cohort, nor did Napoleon create from among them a marshal of the empire.

These suggestions are not thrown out to influence the choice of gentlemen for seats in the new cabinet. The decision of this delicate question, we are inclined to believe, has already been made by the President elect. If there be any doubt in the mind of any one speculating on cabinet formations, let him consult the countenances of the leading whigs, democrats and free soilers at Washington. The bump of hope is more strongly developed among the last. This will put an end to the daily parade of insignificant names for cabinet appointments.

THE NAVY AND THE OLD FOOLIES.—As an offset to the bill of Commodore Stockton for the reorganization of the Navy, the *National Intelligencer* at Washington, with the zeal of a faithful old antiquary, digs up from oblivion an old letter of Mr. Richard Rush, illustrative of the splendid achievements of our naval officers in the war of 1812. Everybody, in the country and out of the country, is fully aware of the glory of those achievements. But naval officers at that day of twenty-five to thirty, when they came to have forty years added to that, are apt to become a little shaky, a little superannuated, a little self-conceited with their old notions, and too rigidly dead-set against modern innovations upon the old system of things, and entirely too cautious and slow to be any longer practically useful. The *National Intelligencer* is the proper organ of these venerable old stagers. Its glory, like theirs, belongs to a past age. Like them, it has had its day. Like them, it is in the red and yellow leaf, and with them, it ought to be put upon the retired list, with a good comfortable pension for life. But this is the year 1853. It is the golden progressive epoch of the nineteenth century. The world is all awake and all alive. Our country is expanding into the proportions of a mighty giant among the nations—our commerce is increasing wonderfully the world over. We have some ugly international questions to settle, and we may come to hard knocks. We want, then, an efficient navy, controlled by young, vigorous and enterprising men, contemporaneous with the age. Let, therefore, the old people retire, and let the navy be reorganized without delay.

## The Social Relations of the United States and England.

"One great advantage of this country," says an eminent English writer, in speaking of the United States, "is, that here circumstances are comparatively powerless; that they do not exercise such an influence on a man's fate as in Europe; that it is more in his own hands." This random touch, hastily added at the close of a long and interesting letter, betokens the eye of a shrewd observer. Nothing is more characteristic of Americans than their perfect confidence that their destiny is in their own hands, and that it will depend on themselves to rise to affluence or sink to beggary. It is to that confidence that they owe much of the energy which raises them so far above other races of men; feeling a calm reliance in his own powers, and in them alone, the Yankee knows no insurmountable obstacle, and estimates objections which would deter a foreigner from further struggles as mere delays of a greater or less duration. Succeed he must—and he does. How could he help it? That pale faced man, with straggling beard and hollow cheek, whose ignorance of the conventional usages of refined society has shocked you so much, is calmly calculating over a sugar whether he cannot build a railroad over the Rocky mountains, or lay a line of telegraph across an arm of the sea; if nothing more promising turns up, and he resolves to make the attempt, depend upon it, in nine cases out of ten he will succeed. This other gaunt, barenecked man, whose splay limbs are in everyone's way, is just maturing a scheme over which he has brooded many an anxious hour; a few weeks hence you will hear of his name as the patentee of the famous new steam boiler. No one will know of his project until he has secured his patent. Step this way. Here stand assembled a couple of dozen pioneer settlers of the far West; they require a road, which will cost \$50,000; amongst them—and they are all here—they can only collect \$12. Do you see any signs of hesitation or doubt? Far from it. One can subscribe provisions; another boards; a third his own labor; a fourth that of his team—and so on, each relying calmly on himself and his associates, and the road is begun with as little uneasiness as to the final result as if they had thousands deposited with their bankers. These are common instances. They illustrate one of the most striking traits in our national character—our independence and self-reliance. A steady habit of leaving nothing to chance and nothing to Providence, but foreseeing every mishap and providing against every possible accident, has matured within us a consciousness of power which is, of itself, an admirable guarantee of success.

That such is not the rule in Great Britain, the writer whose remarks we have quoted above might well regret. There, circumstance is everything, or nearly everything; the man little or nothing. Professional men estimate their chances of success by the wealth and adhesiveness of their "connexion;" politicians aim at the favor of some powerful patron, under whose aegis they may creep into notoriety and parliament; the only hope of a man of business is to step into the shoes of his father or his uncle who has gone before him. Few, very few, are the sole architects of their own fortunes. There was an Eldon, of course, a Cooper, a Jones Lloyd, and others whose names we might mention, who are exceptions to the rule; but their example is rarely followed. Ambition, in England, is confined to a narrow sphere. The splendid talents of a Disraeli, even backed by the powerful connections of his father's friends, would never probably have raised him to the chancellorship, had he not flung his weight to the side of that party whose only claim to power was wealth and hereditary rank. Here, on the contrary, a man can set no limits to his aspirations. The highest rewards in the gift of the people, political power, princely wealth, regal splendor, are within the grasp of the humblest citizen. Neither friends, nor patrons, nor an honored name, nor a magnificent patrimony, will avail him anything. Every American starts in life with the consciousness that he must conquer each grade with his unaided strength.

It is to this circumstance, more than any other, perhaps, that the practical bent of our minds is owing. We aim at results where Englishmen or Frenchmen would spend time over ways and means. We see everything possible; and having set our hearts on a grand prize disregard everything which does not directly advance our pursuits. Foreigners have failure constantly in view, and attach themselves more to detail. When an American has resolved to make a fortune, it matters little to him whether he is miserably lodged in an attic in an obscure street, or whether he is enabled to indulge in the refining intercourse of the female sex; his one aim is constantly before his eyes, and his nothing diverts him from his object. An Englishman, on the contrary, may seek wealth with as much ardor, but he will not evince the same concentration. The pleasures of society and the comforts of a home are never forgotten amid the toils of business; as his receipts increase, so does his expenditure. The deeper he plunges into exports, the more does he relish the society of the great and the sweets of extravagance. It follows as a natural rule, that as he brings less energy to bear on his great aim, his chances of success are less in proportion to those of the American, whose whole soul is engrossed in his pursuit. After the prize is once gained, the American is in general far more prodigal of money than the foreigner; but during the exciting struggle he is not extravagant, not only for want of inclination, but from absolute want of time.

Scheming, planning, contriving, executing, as we all of us are, from January to December, with that inexhaustible fund of energy with which we are endowed, occasional mishaps are inevitable. And this is not the least of the distinctive marks between America and the rest of the world. When a merchant fails in England he seldom rises again; superhuman energy is requisite to raise him to anything like the position he once occupied. In France, the laws deprive the bankrupt of his civil and civic rights—he is an outcast. Here, on the contrary, instead of fostering enterprise by such an absurd brand of ignominy, society strives to compensate the unfortunate merchant for the losses his energy has caused him. His fellow-merchants, far from driving him from their circle, evince a noble pride in lending him a helping hand to rise from the dust. His misfortunes are forgotten, his errors are charitably overlooked, and the very men whose income has been sadly reduced by his failure are the first to tender their aid to the bankrupt. We hesitate not to ascribe to this source much of our commercial prosperity. The general confidence which exists among our merchants may open a door for frauds; knaves may presume on the good faith of their neighbors to perpetrate an occasional swindle; but these petty accidents are not worth notice in a general review of our trade, while the benefit accruing from the same source is a powerful element in accelerating our progress. To the country at large no trade is so profitable as that in which the greatest number of individual disasters—occurring in a legitimate business way—afford evidence of the effervescent energy of the mercantile community.

ONE OF THE BEAUTIES OF THE FLORIDA LAW.—GOVERNOR OF MAINE.—At the last election for State officers in Maine, John Hubbard received, for the office of Governor, 41,999 votes, and William G. Crosby received 29,127; but the votes cast for Hubbard not being a majority of the whole number given, there being some three or four candidates, the choice of Governor devolved on the Legislature. According to the constitution of the State, the House of Representatives, in the event of no choice being made by the people, nominates two candidates, from which the Senate elects one as Governor. Notwithstanding that the State gave 2,210 democratic majority at the last Presidential election, and notwithstanding that Hubbard received 12,852 votes more than Crosby at the late contest, and that the popular branch of the Legislature is largely democratic, the Senate, on the 14th instant, by three majority, elected William G. Crosby, the whig candidate, Governor of the State. Mr. Crosby may make a good Executive officer, and is, no doubt, personally, a very estimable man, but he certainly will represent the political feelings of but a comparatively small portion of the voters of his State.

THE TEHUANTEPEC QUESTION.—According to the last accounts from Mexico, there is yet a prospect that that government will concede to the United States, through some company or other, the advantages of the Tehuantepec route as an overland line of communication between the Atlantic and Pacific. The Mexican paper, *El Siglo*, urges a *pronunciamiento* in favor of Col. A. G. Sloo and Company, from which we presume, the Garay contract still continues to be regarded as a dead letter. Col. Sloo is now here, and his object is no doubt, to enlighten the members of both houses of Congress on the